



Particulars	UACS CODE	Physical Targets					Physical Accomplishment					Variance	Remarks
		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	TOTAL	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	TOTAL		
Average income of graduates 12 months from graduation					PHP 18,000	PHP 18,000				34,167	34,167		
<b>Timeliness Indicator:</b>													
% of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation					50%	50%				88.46%	88.46%		
% of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better					80%	80%				100%	100%		
<b>MFO 3- RESEARCH SERVICES</b>	303000000												
<b>Quality Indicator:</b>													
Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years		10	10	17	17	54	11	10	18	19	58		
<b>Quality Indicator:</b>													
% of research outputs published in a recognized journal in the last 3 years		16.7% (9/54)	14.80%	29.60%	29.60%	90.00%	18.52% (10/54)	14.8% (8/54)	37% (20/54)	20% (11/54)	90%		
<b>Timeliness Indicator:</b>													
% of research projects completed within the original project timeframe		16.6% (2/12)	16.60%	16.60%	25%	75%	25% (3/12)	16.6% (2/12)	16.6% (2/12)	12.5%	70.7%		
<b>MFO 4- TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</b>	304000000												
<b>Quality Indicator:</b>													
Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training		1,456	1,456	1,456	1,456	5,824	1,045	2,280	2,466.50	742	6,533.50		
Number of persons provided with technical advice		7	7	7	9	30	5	7	6	12	30		
<b>Quality Indicator:</b>													
% of trainees who rate training courses as good or better		20%	20%	25%	25%	90%	90%	95%	95%	90%	93%		
% of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better		20%	20%	25%	25%	90%	90%	95%	95%	90%	93%		
<b>Timeliness Indicator:</b>													
% of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request		20%	20%	25%	25%	90%	90%	95%	95%	90%	93%		
% of request for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days		20%	20%	25%	25%	90%	90%	95%	95%	90%	93%		
% of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better.		20%	20%	25%	25%	90%	90%	95%	95%	90%	93%		

Submitted by:



**ELVA S. MARAMARA**  
Director, Financial Mgmt. Services

Approved by:



**RICARDO E. ROTORAS, D.Eng'g**  
University President